

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 11/08/2001 10/005,538 906 9195 John Rolph 09/18/2002 Law Offices of John D. Gugliotta, P.E., Esq. EXAMINER 202 Delaware Building MAI, TRI M 137 South Main Street Akron, OH 44308 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3727

DATE MAILED: 09/18/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Α.	pplication No.	Applicant(s)
		•	
Office Action Summa		0/005,538	ROLPH, JOHN
,	-	kaminer	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this co		i M. Mai	3727 t with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	minumounon appear	s on the cover snee	t with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the pr after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the - If the period for reply specified above is less than - If NO period for reply is specified above, the max - Failure to reply within the set or extended period - Any reply received by the Office later than three r earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.76	IMUNICATION. ovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) nis communication. thirty (30) days, a reply with imry statutory period will ap for reply will, by statute, caus nonths after the mailing date	In no event, however, main the statutory minimum o ply and will expire SIX (6) se the application to become	y a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This a	ction is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in co- closed in accordance with the Disposition of Claims	ndition for allowance	except for formal	matters, prosecution as to the ments is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in	the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s)	_ is/are withdrawn f	rom consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	l to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/or ele	ction requirement.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is	s/are: a)□ accepted	or b)□ objected to t	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that a			· · ·
11) The proposed drawing correction	on filed on is:	a)∐ approved b)[disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings			
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objec	ted to by the Examir	ner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 12	0		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for foreign prid	ority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None	e of:		
1. Certified copies of the pr	iority documents ha	ve been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified continuous application from the* See the attached detailed Office	International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a cl	aim for domestic pri	ority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a c			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1			ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action	Summary	Part of Paper No. 3

Application/Control Number: 10/005,538

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, "the upper end" has no antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Moore et al. (5775722). Moore teaches a cover having a cylindrical outer housing closed at the upper end of an upper housing cover, a conduit formed about the lower circumference of the outer housing near the entry of the orifice as shown in Figs. 2, and 3.

The materials (col. 2, lines 25-26) are light impenetrable materials as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Application/Control Number: 10/005,538

Art Unit: 3727

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moore et al. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the cover in the claimed dimension, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in size and/or proportion. A change in size/proportion is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. (see In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955), and In re Tanczyn, 44 CCPA 704, 766, 241).
- 7. Claims 1 and 2-5, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moore et al. in view of Sturgeon (D370778) or Martinon et al. (4388739). To the degree it is argued the Moore does not teach the drawstring near the orifice. Either Sturgeon or Martinon teaches that it is known in the art to position the drawstring at a location near the orifice. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art provide the drawstring near the orifice in Moore et al. as taught by either Sturgeon or Martinon to provide the desired location for the drawstring.

Regarding claim 2, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the cover in the claimed dimension, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in size and/or proportion. A change in size/proportion is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. (see In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955), and In re Tanczyn, 44 CCPA 704, 766, 241).

8. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moore et al. in view Spector (5135222). Spector meets all claimed limitations except for the spring clip.

Spector teaches that it is known in the art to provide a spring clip 10. It would have been

Art Unit: 3727

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a spring clip in Moore as taught by Spector to close the bag easily.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri M. Mai whose telephone number is (703)308-1038. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W Young can be reached on (703)308-2572. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-3579 for regular communications and (703)305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148.

> Tri M. Mai Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 3727

September 6, 2002